

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,857	11/26/2002	Kenneth J. Ruff	714466.2	3380
27128	7590 10/08/2003		EXAM	INER
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP			NELSON, JUDITH A	
720 OLIVE STREET SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101			3644	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/065,857	RUFF, KENNETH				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Judith A. Nelson	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address the Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 I</u>	November 2002 .					
, _ .	is action is non-fina					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:				

Application/Control Number: 10/065,857

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foreign Patent GB 339,680, issued to Batten (as listed on Applicant's enclosed, Information Disclosure Statement).

It appears that the reference of Batten discloses Applicant's wild bird accessory, including, inter alia, comprising a plurality of pots, and further comprising a flowerpot shaped bird accessory comprising a receptacle having a first end and a second end and a continuous side wall of the receptacle extending between the first end and the second end of the receptacle and having an opening therein.

With respect to the claimed limitations, of size changes to the opening on the accessory; material makeup being ceramic; the bottom being a bell pot; or the exterior surfaces of the device being waterproof, specifically provided with a silicone coating, at least on the exterior surfaces thereof, each of these listed modifications would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made, since: 1) changing the size of bird feeder openings/dwellings is known in the art for various reasons, the most common being to accommodate larger birds or so as to prevent a certain size of bird from entering a dwelling; 2)With respect to the material makeup of the device, it has

Application/Control Number: 10/065,857

Art Unit: 3644

been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416; and 3) it would have further been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have treated the device with a weather proofing substance, such as silicone, since the major function of the device is to provide a dwelling for birds in the wild and such a modification would help to preserve the device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 2,715,386; 5,207,180 and D83,358.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judith A. Nelson whose telephone number is (703) 305-0984. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur. 9:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m., alt. Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles T. Jordan can be reached on 306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 306-4180.

Judith A. Nelson

Examine

SUPERVISORY PARENT EMANMER Art Unit 3644

TECHLOLOGY CENTER 3800

jan 😾 9/29/03